IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J.M.JAMES

MONDAY, THE 18TH DECEMBER 2006 / 27TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 31480 of 2006(V)

PETITIONER:

V.P. VELAYUDHAN,
VALIYAVEETTILPADY, MUTHUTHALA POST, VIA. PATTAMBI,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, NOW WORKING AS ATTENDER,
THE PATTAMBI SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.585,
P.O. PATTAMBI, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SAIBY JOSE KIDANGOOR

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PALAKKAD.

3. THE PATTAMBI SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE
BANK LTD., REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, PATTAMBI,
PALAKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI.S.P.ARAVINDAKSHAN PILLAY
SMT.N.SANTHA
SRI.PETER JOSE CHRISTO
BY SENIOR GOVT. PLEADER SRI.P.K.RAVIKRISHNAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

Petitioner's Exhibits:

Ext.P1 True copy of the order No.5220/2002/ C R P/L. Dis. dated 14.3.2003.

Ext.P2 True copy of the representation dated 22.11.2006 preferred by the petitioner to the second respondent.

//true copy//

P.S. to Judge.

J.M. JAMES, J.

W.P.(C) No. 31480 of 2006

Dated this the 18th December, 2006.

J U D G M E N T

The writ petitioner has approached the Joint Registrar, the second respondent, to re-fix the date of his entry in the service, from 15.3.1978 to 1.8.1975. Accordingly, as per Ext.P1, the Joint Registrar passed an order dated 14.3.2003, accepting the date of entry of the writ petitioner, in the service of the third respondent Bank, as 1.8.1975. It was further directed through Ext.P1 that all the benefits due to him from the 3rd respondent Bank be disbursed.

2. However, the grievance of the writ petitioner is that no benefits have so far been paid to him. Therefore, he has made Ext.P2 representation dated 22.11.2006 before the second respondent, and the same is pending.

3. When the writ petition came up for consideration, I have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, as well as the learned counsel for the 3rd respondent.

4. Counsel appearing for the 3rd respondent, however, submitted that the disciplinary proceedings had already been initiated against the writ petitioner, and the same has not been finalised. He further submitted that the petitioner is also placed under suspension from 28.9.2006. Counsel for the petitioner, on the other hand, submitted that the suspension order is under challenge and W.P.(C) 31466/2006 is pending before this Court.

5. Without expressing any opinion on the merit of the matter, I dispose of this writ petition, directing the second respondent, Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Palakkad, to consider and pass orders on the representation dated 22.11.2006, Ext.P2, on merit, after hearing all concerned, within two months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment.

J.M. JAMES,
JUDGE

nj.